



Sherman I.S.D.
Campus Improvement Plan

2008-09

It is the mission of Washington Elementary School to ensure that all students are provided with an educational, social, emotional, and cultural knowledge base to create a foundation for life-long learning and success in an ever-changing world.

Sherman I.S.D. Belief Statements

- 1 We recognize individual needs, strengths, cultures, and life experiences.
- 2 We will work with parents and community to build a partnership to ensure that all of our children's needs are met.
- 3 We believe in high expectations of each learner.
- 4 We use proven instructional strategies to motivate students to achieve success.
- 5 We, through mutual respect and consistent professional direction, will build relationships and nurture strengths.
- 6 We are committed to the success of each student.

Goal 1: Improve the academic achievement/performance of all students.

Correlates with:

State Goals			
1) Performance - English	2) Performance - Mathematics		
State Objectives			
2) Student Potential	4) Curriculum	5) Prepare Students	7) Student Performance
9) Instructional Techniques	10) Technology		
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards	2) LEP will become Proficient in English		
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	4) Clear and Focused Mission	5) Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task
6) Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress			
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	2) Student Opportunities	3) Instructional	8) Include Teachers in Decisions
9) Identify and Assist with Student Difficulties	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs		

Indicator: TAKS Reading

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	84%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 85.2%	2009
African American	70%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 74%	2009
Economically Disadvantaged	83%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 84.4%	2009
Hispanic	89%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 89.2%	2009
White	75%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 78%	2009

Indicator: TAKS Math

Grade: All	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
Group						
All Students	70%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 74%	2009
African American	30%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 70%	2009
Economically Disadvantaged	69%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 73.2%	2009
Hispanic	76%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 78.8%	2009
White	71%	2008	≥ 90%	2013-14	≥ 74.8%	2009

Indicator: TAKS Writing

Grade: All

Group	Current Performance ACCOUNTABILITY DATA		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
All Students	93%	2008	≥ 95%	2013-14	≥ 93.4%	2009
African American	> 99%	2008	≥ 100%	2013-14	≥ 99.2%	2009
Economically Disadvantaged	92%	2008	≥ 95%	2013-14	≥ 92.6%	2009
Hispanic	92%	2008	≥ 95%	2013-14	≥ 92.6%	2009
White	90%	2008	≥ 95%	2013-14	≥ 91%	2009

Indicator: Attendance

Grade: All

Year	Current Performance		Desired Performance LONG TERM STATE OBJECTIVES		Desired Performance ANNUAL OBJECTIVES	
	Rate	Year	Rate	Year	Rate	Year
2007-08	96.1%		≥ 97.5%	2013-14	≥ 96.4%	2009
2006-07	95.8%					
2005-06	96.9%					

Strategies

Goal 1 - Strategy 1		Focused Data Analysis															
Leader(s): Principal Leader Progress Report Dates: On-going		Brief Description: Analyze and respond to performance data at the campus, grade, classroom and individual student level.						Evaluation Benchmark: Student progress monitoring Grade level/faculty data analysis Instructional progress conversations									
Resources Required: Title Teachers Time Teachers Staff Outside Consultant District Coordinator District Admin. Staff Computers Central Office Campus Admin. Staff		FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None				Source of Funds: None				Amount \$0.00 \$0.00							
Timeline																	
Activity		Person(s) Responsible				J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
						u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
						n	i	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
Analyze and disaggregate data at the campus, classroom, and individual student level to determine the needs of each student and to develop individualized goals.		Principals, Team Leaders, Teachers						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Participate in horizontal and vertical team planning sessions, focusing on instructional strategies which target weak areas as		Principal, Teachers					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 1 - Strategy 2		Curriculum	
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Implement an aligned curriculum and assessment program that is standardized, rigorous, data-driven, and technologically-infused.	
Leader Progress Report Dates: Daily		Evaluation Benchmark: Lesson Plans Classroom Observations Student Performance Data	
Resources Required:		FTE's Required:	
Library	Number of FTE's: None	Source of Funds:	
Campus Admin. Staff	None	Special Grant	Amount
Central Office	Cost: None	General Budget	\$5,000.00
Child Nutrition Dept.		Compensatory Ed. Budget	\$20,000.00
Community Leader			\$500.00
Community Speaker			<hr/>
Computers			\$25,500.00
Contract Service			
Custodial/Maint. Dept.			
District Admin. Staff			
Audio Visual Equipment			
District Staff			
Title Teachers			
Local Bus. Leader			
Outside Consultant			
Parent Support			
School Commons Area			
School Library			
Staff			

Goal 1 - Strategy 2 Curriculum													
Supplies Teachers Teaching Aids Time District Coordinator													
Timeline													
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J u n	J u l	A u g	S e p	O c t	N o v	D e c	J a n	F e b	M a r	A p r	M a y
Implementation of the C-Scope curriculum system in math and science. -Implementation of Instructional Coordinator as C-Scope Facilitator. -Purchase additional materials for science and math C-Scope instruction. -Monitor the implementation of the C-Scope curriculum.	Principals, Team Leaders, Instructional Coor		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Increase Technology Integration as an instructional and assessment tool. Provide maximum usage training for in-class technology.	Principal, Technology Specialist, Teachers		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Differentiate instruction to meet the needs of all students, including all special populations. Special Education Dyslexia LEP	Principal, Teachers, Service Providers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Coordinate campus reading programs with the Texas Reading Initiative through the use of effective pre and post reading benchmarks.	Principal, Teacher			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Coordinate supplementary campus math programs to provide a conceptual understanding, real life problem solving, and computation/algorithms by the use of:	Principal, Teacher			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 1 - Strategy 2 Curriculum		J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Activity		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
-Mentoring Minds Supplemental Materials -New math textbook, Envision -Guided Math Instruction -Daily Math Practice													
Implement a cross curricular emphasis between Social Studies and Reading daily to lay a foundation for future instruction in grades in which the curriculum is TAKS tested.	Principal, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Administer district C-Scope assessments, checkpoints, and mock TAKS assessments to assess mastery of the curriculum at specific intervals throughout the year as determined by the SISD assessment calendar.	Principal, Teachers				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 1 - Strategy 3		Eliminate Achievement Gaps														
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Eliminate achievement gaps while raising learning expectations and mastery for all students.					Evaluation Benchmark: Intervention Plans Student Performance Data Classroom Observations									
Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing																
Resources Required: None		FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None			Source of Funds: Title Budget GT Budget General Budget Compensatory Ed. Budget				Amount \$7,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00					\$18,000.00		
Timeline																
Activity		Person(s) Responsible			J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Intervention (Exploration) period build into schedule for homogenous enrichment, reinforcement, and acceleration		Principal				X										
Train staff and implement the Response to Intervention model.		Principal, SpEd					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Language Science (Dyslexia) support services will be provided daily, through the 504 Plan, in conjunction with the general classroom instruction to support the achievement of all qualifying students to increase performance in organizational thought, reading and writing.		Principal, Dyslexia Teacher, Teacher					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Special Education (Inclusion, Pull-Out, and Self-Contained) support services will be provided daily through the IEP and ARD plans, in conjunction with the general classroom instruction, to		Principial, SpEd Teachers, Teachers					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 1 - Strategy 3		Eliminate Achievement Gaps											
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y		
support the achievement of all qualifying students to increase acheivement in reading, writing, and math.													
LEP (Bilingual and ESL) support services will be provided daily, through the LPAC plan in conjunction with the general classroom instruction, to support the achievement of all qualifying students to increase language acquisition and academic performance in all subject areas.	Principal, ESL Site Coord., Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Reading Recovery will be provided daily, in conjunction with the general first grade classroom instruction, to support the achievement of all qualifying students.	Principal, Reading Recovery Teacher, 1st Grade				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Title I (Reading and Math) support services will be provided daily, in conjunction with the general classroom instruction, to support the achievement of all qualifying students to increase performance in the areas of Reading and Math.	Principal, Title I, Third and Fourth Grade Teacher			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Tutoring will be provided twice weekly, to support the general classroom instruction, to support the achievement of all qualifying students in the areas of math, reading and writing.	Principal, Tutoring Teachers					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Elimination of student achievement gaps will be accomplished through differentiated and guided instruction and by providing support services to at-risk students. Teachers and Principals will use data-driven effective course of action as provided by INOVA data.	Principal and Teacher				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 1 - Strategy 4		Attendance												
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Increase student achievement through improved attendance rate.					Evaluation Benchmark: Attendance Records							
Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing														
Resources Required: None		FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None			Source of Funds: Special Grant District Budget				Amount \$78,000.00 \$1,500.00 <hr/> \$79,500.00					
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	a	a	
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	p	y	
Improve student attendance so that students are present to receive instruction, therefore increasing student achievement.	Principal, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Breakfast will be served in the classroom daily to provide for student nutrition needs and to reduce the number of tardy students.	Principal, Teachers, Cafeteria Staff			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Provide positive reinforcement for perfect attendance each nine weeks. -Each nine weeks an assembly will be held to recognize students and students will receive beads for perfect attendance. -Perfect attendance students will be placed on the perfect attendance bulletin board, which will be updated monthly.						X			X		X		X	
Utilize the services of the SISD's truancy officer and Grayson County's new Truancy Task Force.	Principal, Attendance Clerk			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

Goal 1 - Strategy 4		Attendance											
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
-Continue referrals to Truancy Court													

Goal 2: Develop mechanisms to ensure continuous improvement in campus instruction.

Correlates with:

District Goals			
2) Continuous Improvement of Instruction			
State Objectives			
6) School Personnel	9) Instructional Techniques	10) Technology	
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
3) Highly Qualified Staff			
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	3) Instructional Leadership	4) Clear and Focused Mission	
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	4) Professional Development	5) Professional Staff	8) Include Teachers in Decisions

Strategies

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 Staff Recruitment, Hiring and Retention

<p>Leader(s): Principal</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing</p>	<p>Brief Description: Recruit, develop, and retain the highest quality staff.</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: PDAS Data Staff development records TEAMS</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>Resources Required: Transportation Dept. Time Teachers Staff School Commons Area Outside Consultant District Staff District Coordinator District Admin. Staff Computers Central Office Campus Admin. Staff</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None</p>	<p>Source of Funds: Camp. Activity Fund Budget</p>	<p>Amount \$500.00 <hr/>\$500.00</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------

Timeline

Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	i	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
Campus administration will seek faculty input, attend job fair(s), utilize district hiring procedures, and hire highly qualified faculty and staff members.	Principal			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 2 - Strategy 1 Staff Recruitment, Hiring and Retention		J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Activity		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
-Collaborate with the Director of Bilingual and ESL Education to recruit highly qualified Bilingual teachers													
In order to retain highly qualified staff, we will support teachers new to the district in meeting SISD expectations through monthly new teacher induction meetings and assigning campus mentors.	Principal, Dir. Of Staff Development			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Develop and support Professional Learning Communities (PLC) throughout the campus to improve student achievement. - All teachers will participate in the book study "Great Teachers"	Principal, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 2 - Strategy 2		Staff Development											
<p>Leader(s): Principal</p> <p>Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing</p>	<p>Brief Description: Provide opportunities for ongoing training to staff and collaboration in strategies for working with the diverse needs of all students. Professional development will support the district initiatives and building a training team within the staff. TESA Tools for Teaching Ruby Payne Thinking Maps C-Scope INOVA Write from the Beginning SIOp GLAD RTI AimsWeb</p>	<p>Evaluation Benchmark: Student Achievement Sign-in Sheets Training Certificates</p>											
<p>Resources Required: None</p>	<p>FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None</p>	<p>Source of Funds: Title Budget Special Grant General Budget</p>	<p>Amount \$5,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$7,000.00 <hr/>\$14,000.00</p>										
Timeline													
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
<p>Train staff in the implementation of the new C-Scope math and science curriculum. -Support implementation of C-scope through weekly meetings at</p>	<p>Principals, Instruct. Coord., Math/Scienc Teachers</p>			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 2 - Strategy 2 Staff Development		J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Activity		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
grade level meetings. -Train staff in te implement of the new math textbook, Envision, as a supplement to C-scope.													
Train staff for implementation of year two of Response to Intervention (RTI) process for students who are at risk of academic failure. -Train staff to apply RTI model to students who exhibit academic problems. -Train staff in the implementation of Aimsweb assessment and progress monitoring tools for RTI Tiers				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Continue to support, extend, and expand current district-wide initiatives designed to improve instruction and student/teacher achievement for all staff. There initiatives include: Thinking Maps Ruby Payne INOVA Kilgo Data Disaggregation and Question Strategies Technology Integration Write from the Beginning GLAD SIOP				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Provide staff training activities both on and off campus that will address instructional weaknesses as evidenced by analysis of student performance data.				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 3: Increase opportunities to build and strengthen parent, community and business partnerships.

Correlates with:

District Goals			
3) Partnerships			
State Objectives			
1) Partnering Parents with Educators	2) Student Potential	5) Prepare Students	7) Student Performance
8) School Environment			
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
1) Students will Reach High Standards			
Effective School Correlates			
2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	7) Home-School Relations		
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	6) Parental Involvement	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs	

Strategies

Goal 3 - Strategy 1		Communication											
Leader(s): Principal		Brief Description: Increase positive interactions with parents and community members.					Evaluation Benchmark: Survey						
Leader Progress Report Dates: Spring 2009													
Resources Required: None		FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None			Source of Funds: General Budget					Amount \$1,500.00 <hr/> \$1,500.00			
Timeline													
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	a	a
		n	n	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	p	y
Conduct home visits with all pre-kindergarten students and their families.	Teacher, Bilingual Assistants			X	X								
All teachers will make a positive contact with the parent or guardian of each child within the first weeks of school.	Principal, Teacher			X	X								
Continue to send grade reports to parents at the three week intervals and technology competency reports each semester.	Principal, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Provide opportunities for parents to be involved in the school setting.	Principal, All Staff			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
-Increase the number of parent volunteer hours on campus. -Provide Meet the Teacher Night -Provide Curriculum Nights -Provide parent/teacher conferences.													

Goal 3 - Strategy 1 Communication		J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Activity		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
-Provide Hispanic Parental Involvement Meetings -Provide Community and Business Partnerships Meeting													
Thursday folders will be sent weekly including communications from the teacher, school and district	Principals, Teacher			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Principal will send monthly communications to parents and to staff about events at the school.	Principal			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 3 - Strategy 2		Mentoring												
Leader(s): None		Brief Description: The Mentor Me! school-based mentoring program will be implemented to connect at-risk students with caring professional adults to support the student's behavior and performance in school.					Evaluation Benchmark: Mentoring Logs Student Achievement Data Discipline Logs							
Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing														
Resources Required: None		FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None			Source of Funds: None					Amount \$0.00 \$0.00				
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	
Weekly mentoring will be conducted to support the academic environment for selected at-risk students. -An additional mentoring bonus period will be built in for PE, Music and Art	Principal, Staff, Mentors			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
The school will continue to expand the Big Brothers/Big Sisters program to reach out to at-risk students.	Principal, Counselor			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
The school will provide TAKS Buddies, PALS and BEST programs through SHS to help students achieve at their highest potential.	Principal, Counselor					X	X	X	X	X	X	X		

Goal 4: Provide a safe and orderly environment for students, staff and community.

Correlates with:

State Objectives			
8) School Environment			
NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators			
4) Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments			
Effective School Correlates			
1) Safe and Orderly Environment	2) Climate of High Expectations for Success	4) Clear and Focused Mission	7) Home-School Relations
Title I - Schoolwide Programs			
1) Needs Assessment	4) Professional Development	5) Professional Staff	8) Include Teachers in Decisions
9) Identify and Assist with Student Difficulties	10) Federal, State, and Local Programs		

Strategies

Goal 4 - Strategy 1		Crisis Management												
Leader(s): Principal Leader Progress Report Dates: Monthly	Brief Description: Implement updated crisis management plan.	Evaluation Benchmark: Drill logs												
Resources Required: Volunteer Support Title Teachers Time Teachers Supplies Staff Parent Support District Coordinator District Admin. Staff Custodial/Maint. Dept. Computers Community Leader Central Office Campus Admin. Staff	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: Title Budget	Amount \$1,000.00 <hr style="width: 50%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"/> \$1,000.00											
Timeline														
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a	
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y	

Goal 4 - Strategy 1 Crisis Management		J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
Activity		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	p	a
Person(s) Responsible		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
All campus staff will wear a district issued picture identification badge at all times.	All Staff			X									
Update and implement campus crisis plan to meet the needs of a new school building.	Principal, Crisis Team Leader, Teachers			X	X	X							
Practice established procedures and drills for both inside and outside crisis situations twice per year. Practice fire drill procedures monthly.	Principals, All Staff, Crisis Management Team			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 4 - Strategy 2		Orderly Environment											
Leader(s): Principal	Brief Description: Create an atmosphere that is conducive to learning.	Evaluation Benchmark: PDAS Discipline Records Survey											
Leader Progress Report Dates: Ongoing Daily Spring 2009													
Resources Required: None	FTE's Required: Number of FTE's: None None Cost: None	Source of Funds: Title Budget General Budget	Amount \$700.00 \$2,000.00 <hr/> \$2,700.00										
Timeline													
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
The number of discipline incidents reported will be decreased by the use of Positive Behavioral Supports and Tribes methodology and strategies and through the use of student behavior incentive reward program.	Principal, Teachers, Counselor			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Character Education will be the main focus of the classroom guidance lessons, increasing positive student behavior.	Principal, Counselor, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Parent Education will be provided, in conjunction with the SISD Parent Outreach Coordinator and PTA, and through Title I Outreach, to provide support to the parents in areas of assessment, services, and support programs for their children.	Principal, Teachers			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
The school will use the newly installed security vestibules as a process for managing arrival/dismissal procedures.	Principal, Teachers, Secretary				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Goal 4 - Strategy 2		Orderly Environment											
Activity	Person(s) Responsible	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M
		u	u	u	e	c	o	e	a	e	a	r	a
		n	l	g	p	t	v	c	n	b	r	r	y
Teachers, administration and support staff will continue to maintain visibility in the hallways to impact and create a positive school environment.	All Staff			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Curriculum Nights will be used to communicate safety and educational home/school teamwork.	All Staff					X							

APPENDIX I

SHARED DECISION MAKING COMMITTEE PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND DEVELOPMENT LOG NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

2008-09 Shared Decision Making Committee				
Position	Name	Subject/Grade	Contact Information	Signature
Classroom Teacher	Tenise Gray	1st Grade		
Principal	Joanne Miller			
Classroom Teacher	Charlotte Collier	Reading Recovery		
Classroom Teacher	Ana Ackerman	Pre-K Teacher		
Classroom Teacher	Amy Walker	Kindergarten		
Classroom Teacher	Wanda Nelson	2nd Grade Teacher		
Classroom Teacher	Elena McCullom	3rd Grade Teacher		
Classroom Teacher	Sarah Collier	4th Grade Teacher		
Classroom Teacher	Danella McConkey	Special Education Teacher		
Classroom Teacher	Missy McGowen	Gifted and Talented Teacher		
Paraprofessional	Bruines Gonzalez			
Classroom Teacher	Amy Pesina	Intervention		
Community Representative	Rene Menjivar			
Business Representative	Ramiro Pesina			

Campus Improvement Plan Plan Implementation and Development Log	
----------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Date	Purpose
-------------	----------------

Needs Assessment


Summative Evaluation for 2007-08

Needs Assessment Focus

Indicators Rated		Priority Rating	Satisfaction Rating
1	(AEIS) Mean Scores of SAT/ACT	NR	NR
2	(AEIS) Percent of High School graduates scoring at or above state criteria on SAT/ACT	NR	NR
3	(AEIS) Percent of graduates scoring high enough on TAAS/TAKS-EXIT to predict success on TASP	NR	NR
4	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for reading	NR	NR
5	(AEIS) Percent of high performing students and the Comparable Improvement quartile for math	NR	NR
6	(AEIS) Percent of graduates completing RECOMMENDED HIGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS	NR	NR
7	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SOCIAL STUDIES	NR	NR
8	(AEIS) Percent of 8th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS SCIENCE	NR	NR
9	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	NR	NR
10	(AEIS) Percent of 5th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	NR	NR
11	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS READING (Spanish version)	NR	NR
12	(AEIS) Percent of 6th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS MATH (Spanish version)	NR	NR
13	(AEIS) Percent of 4th grade students passing TAAS/TAKS WRITING (Spanish version)	NR	NR
14	(AEIS) Percent of High School students completing and receiving credit for at least one ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSE	NR	NR
15	(AEIS) Percent of High School students enrolled in ADVANCED ACADEMIC COURSES	NR	NR
16	Percent of examinees scoring 3 or higher on ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	NR	NR
17	Percent of High School students taking ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS	NR	NR
18	Percent of total ADVANCED PLACEMENT EXAMS with scores of 3 or higher	NR	NR
19	Percent of students passing ENGLISH II EOC Examination	NR	NR

20	Percent of students passing UNITED STATES HISTORY EOC Examination	NR	NR
21	Percent of students passing BIOLOGY EOC Examination	NR	NR
22	Percent of students passing ALGEBRA I EOC Examination	NR	NR
23	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS READING	NR	NR
24	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS MATH	NR	NR
25	Percent of students MASTERING TAAS/TAKS WRITING	NR	NR
26	Annual Student RETENTION RATES	NR	NR
27	Percent of students demonstrating master of selected TECHNOLOGICAL SKILLS	NR	NR
28	Percent of students demonstrating skills for creating and delivering a multi-media presentation	NR	NR
29	Percent of students able to validly respond in the world view of another culture given hypothetical situations	NR	NR
30	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR MATH	NR	NR
31	Percent passing REPORT CARD GRADES FOR SCIENCE	NR	NR
32	Percent of students ENROLLED IN ADVANCED MATH AND SCIENCE	NR	NR
33	Percent of students ENROLLED IN CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY COURSES	NR	NR
34	DISCIPLINE REFERRAL RATES	NR	NR
35	Percent of students demonstrating good CITIZENSHIP SKILLS	NR	NR
36	Percent of students demonstrating ability to WORK PRODUCTIVELY IN A WORK TEAM	NR	NR
37	Percent of students demonstrating appropriate SELF-DISCIPLINE	NR	NR
38	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CAMPUS RECYCLING PROJECTS	NR	NR
39	Percent of students PARTICIPATING IN CO-CURRICULAR ACTIVITIES	NR	NR

Process Chart



Summative Evaluation for year 2008-09

This section should be completed after you have finished your plan for the current year. This should be the last step before creating your plan for next year.

Objective Accomplishments

TAKS Reading - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2007-08	84%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	85.2%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2007-08	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	74%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2007-08	83%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	84.4%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2007-08	89%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	89.2%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2007-08	75%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	78%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Math - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2007-08	70%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	74%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2007-08	30%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	70%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2007-08	69%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	73.2%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2007-08	76%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	78.8%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2007-08	71%
Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09	74.8%
Actual Performance for 2008-09	NA
<i>No Progress Rating Selected</i>	

Explanation of Performance

TAKS Writing - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2007-08 93%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 93.4%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: African American

Actual Performance for 2007-08 > 99%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 99.2%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Economically Disadvantaged

Actual Performance for 2007-08 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 92.6%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: Hispanic

Actual Performance for 2007-08 92%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 92.6%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Analysis Group: White

Actual Performance for 2007-08 90%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 91%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

Attendance - Grade: All Grades

Analysis Group: All Students

Actual Performance for 2007-08 96.1%
 Projected Annual Objective for 2008-09 96.4%
 Actual Performance for 2008-09 NA
No Progress Rating Selected

Explanation of Performance

APPENDIX II

DETAILED GOAL DEFINITIONS

OTHER REFERENCE MATERIALS

District Goals

Goal 1: Student Achievement

The district will continue to realize annual progress in student achievement for all student groups.

Goal 2: Continuous Improvement of Instruction

The District will develop mechanisms to ensure continuous improvement of district instruction.

Goal 3: Partnerships

The District will strengthen partnerships with parents, businesses, area colleges and the city to provide an enriched support network for district student progress.

Goal 4: Communications

The district will enhance its communications with the community to ensure community awareness of district progress and student success.

State Goals

Goal 1: Performance - English

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the reading and writing of the English language.

Goal 2: Performance - Mathematics

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of mathematics.

Goal 3: Performance - Science

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of science.

Goal 4: Performance - Social Studies

The students in the public education system will demonstrate exemplary performance in the understanding of social studies.

State Objectives

Objective 1: Partnering Parents with Educators

Parents will be full partners with educators in the education of their children.

Objective 2: Student Potential

Students will be encouraged and challenged to meet their full educational potential.

Objective 3: Dropout Prevention

Through enhanced dropout prevention efforts, all students will remain in school until they obtain a high school diploma.

Objective 4: Curriculum

A well balanced and appropriate curriculum will be provided to all students.

Objective 5: Prepare Students

Educators will prepare students to be thoughtful, active citizens who have an appreciation for the basic values of our state and national heritage and who can understand and productively function in a free enterprise society.

Objective 6: School Personnel

Qualified and highly effective personnel will be recruited, developed, and retained.

Objective 7: Student Performance

The state's students will demonstrate exemplary performance in comparison to national and international standards.

Objective 8: School Environment

School campuses will maintain a safe and disciplined environment conducive to student learning.

Objective 9: Instructional Techniques

Educators will keep abreast of the development of creative and innovative techniques in instruction and administration using those techniques as appropriate to improve student learning.

Objective 10: Technology

Technology will be implemented and used to increase the effectiveness of student learning, instructional management, staff development, and administration.

NCLB/ESEA Goals and Indicators

Goal 1: Students will Reach High Standards

By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

1.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each individual student group, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(i).)

1.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

Goal 2: LEP will become Proficient in English

All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

2.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year.

2.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.

2.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Goal 3: Highly Qualified Staff

By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.

3.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of classes being taught by "highly qualified" teachers (as the term is defined in section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate and in "high-poverty" schools (as the term is defined in section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the SEA).

3.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of teachers receiving high-quality professional development (as the term, "professional development," is defined in section 9101 (34)).

3.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parental involvement assistants) who are qualified (see criteria in section 1119(c) and (d)).

Goal 4: Safe, Drug Free Learning Environments

All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.

4.1 Performance indicator: The number of persistently dangerous schools, as defined by the State.

Goal 5: All Students will Graduate from High School

All students will graduate from high school.

5.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students in the aggregate and in each group who graduate from high school each year with a regular diploma,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

5.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students who drop out of school,

- disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged;

- calculated in the same manner as utilized in National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.

Effective School Correlates

Correlate 1: Safe and Orderly Environment

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is an orderly, purposeful, businesslike atmosphere which is free from the threat of physical harm. The school climate is not oppressive and is conducive to teaching and learning.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the safe and orderly environment correlate was defined in terms of the absence of undesirable student behavior (e.g., students fighting). In the second generation, the concept of a school environment conducive to learning for all must move beyond the elimination of undesirable behavior. The second generation will place increased emphasis on the presence of certain desirable behaviors (e.g., cooperative team learning). These second generation schools will be places where students actually help one another.

Moving beyond simply the elimination of undesirable behavior will represent a significant challenge for many schools. For example, it is unlikely that a school's faculty could successfully teach its students to work together unless the adults in the school model collaborative behaviors in their own professional working relationships. Since schools as workplaces are characterized by their isolation, creating more collaborative/cooperative environments for both the adults and students will require substantial commitment and change in most schools.

First, teachers must learn the "technologies" of teamwork. Second, the school will have to create the "opportunity structures" for collaboration. Finally, the staff will have to nurture the belief that collaboration, which often requires more time initially, will assist the schools to be more effective and satisfying in the long run.

But schools will not be able to get students to work together cooperatively unless they have been taught to respect human diversity and appreciate democratic values. These student learnings will require a major and sustained commitment to multicultural education. Students and the adults who teach them will need to come to terms with the fact that the United States is no longer a nation with minorities. We are now a nation of minorities. This new reality is currently being resisted by many of our community and parent advocacy groups, as well as by some educators.

Correlate 2: Climate of High Expectations for Success

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a climate of expectation in which the staff believe and demonstrate that all students can attain mastery of the essential school skills, and the staff also believe that they have the capability to help all students achieve that mastery.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, the emphasis placed on high expectations for success will be broadened significantly. In the first generation, expectations were described in terms of attitudes and beliefs that suggested how the teacher should behave in the teaching-learning situation. Those descriptions sought to tell teachers how they should initially deliver the lesson. High expectations meant, for example, that the teacher should evenly distribute questions asked among all students and should provide each student with an equal opportunity to participate in the learning process. Unfortunately, this "equalization of opportunity," though beneficial, proved to be insufficient to assure mastery for many learners. Teachers found themselves in the difficult position of having had high expectations and having acted upon them--yet some students still did not learn.

In the second generation, the teachers will anticipate this and they will develop a broader array of responses. For example, teachers will implement additional strategies, such as reteaching and regrouping, to assure that all students do achieve mastery. Implementing this expanded concept of high expectations will require the school as an organization to reflect high expectations. Most of the useful strategies will require the cooperation of the school as a whole; teachers cannot implement most of these strategies working alone in isolated classrooms.

High expectations for success will be judged, not only by the initial staff beliefs and behaviors, but also by the organization's response when some students do not learn. For example, if the teacher plans a lesson, delivers that lesson, assesses learning and finds that some students did not learn, and still goes on to the next lesson, then that teacher didn't expect the students to learn in the first place. If the school condones through silence that teacher's behavior, it apparently does not expect the students to learn, or the teacher to teach these students.

Several changes are called for in order to implement this expanded concept of high expectations successfully. First, teachers will have to come to recognize that high expectations for student success must be "launched" from a platform of teachers having high expectations for self. Then the school organization will have to be restructured to assure that teachers have access to more "tools" to help them achieve successful learning for all. Third, schools, as cultural organizations, must recognize that schools must be transformed from institutions designed for "instruction" to institutions designed to assure "learning."

Correlate 3: Instructional Leadership

The First Generation: In the effective school, the principal acts as an instructional leader and effectively and persistently communicates that mission to the staff, parents, and students. The principal understands and applies the characteristics of instructional effectiveness in the management of the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the standards for instructional leadership focused primarily on the principal and the administrative staff of the school. In the second generation, instructional leadership will remain important; however, the concept will be broadened and leadership will be viewed as a dispersed concept that includes all adults, especially the teachers. This is in keeping with the teacher empowerment concept; it recognizes that a principal cannot be the only leader in a complex organization like a school. With the democratization of organizations, especially schools, the leadership function becomes one of creating a "community of shared values." The mission will remain critical because it will serve to give the community of shared values a shared sense of "magnetic north," an identification of what this school community cares most about. The role of the principal will be changed to that of "a leader of leaders," rather than a leader of followers. Specifically, the principal will have to develop his/her skills as coach, partner, and cheerleader. The broader concept of leadership recognizes that leadership is always delegated from the followership in any organization. It also recognizes what teachers have known for a long time and what good schools have capitalized on since the beginning of time: namely, expertise is generally distributed among many, not concentrated in a single person.

Correlate 4: Clear and Focused Mission

The First Generation: In the effective school, there is a clearly articulated school mission through which the staff shares an understanding of and commitment to the instructional goals, priorities, assessment procedures, and accountability. Staff accepts responsibility for students' learning of the school's essential curricular goals.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the effective school mission emphasized teaching for learning for all. The two issues that surfaced were: "Did this really mean all students or just those with whom the schools had a history of reasonable success?" When it became clear that this mission was inclusive of all students, especially the children of the poor (minority and nonminority), the second issue surfaced. It centered itself around the question: "Learn what?" Partially because of the accountability movement and partially because of the belief that disadvantaged students could not learn higher-level curricula, the focus was on mastery of mostly low-level skills.

In the second generation, the focus will shift toward a more appropriate balance between higher-level learning and those more basic skills that are truly prerequisite to their mastery. Designing and delivering a curriculum that responds to the demands of accountability, and is responsive to the need for higher levels of learning, will require substantial staff development. Teachers will have to be better trained to develop curricula and lessons with the "end in mind." They will have to know and be comfortable with the concept of "backward mapping," and they will need to know "task analysis." These "tools of the trade" are essential for an efficient and effective "results-oriented" school that successfully serves all students.

Finally, a subtle but significant change in the concept of school mission deserves notice. Throughout the first generation, effective schools proponents advocated the mission of teaching for learning for all. In the second generation, the advocated mission will be learning for all. The rationale for this change is that the "teaching for" portion of the old statement created ambiguity (although this was unintended) and kept too much of the focus on "teaching" rather than "learning." This allowed people to discount school learnings that were not the result of direct teaching. Finally, the new formulation of learning for all opens the door to the continued learning of the educators as well as the students.

Correlate 5: Opportunity to Learn and Student Time on Task

The First Generation: In the effective school, teachers allocate a significant amount of classroom time to instruction in the essential skills. For a high percentage of this time, students are engaged in whole class or large group, teacher-directed, planned learning activities.

The Second Generation: In the second generation, time will continue to be a difficult problem for the teacher. In all likelihood, the problems that arise from too much to teach and not enough time to teach it will intensify. In the past, when the teachers were oriented toward "covering curricular content" and more content was added, they knew their response should be to "speed up." Now teachers are being asked to stress the mission that assures that the students master the content that is covered. How are they to respond? In the next generation, teachers will have to become more skilled at interdisciplinary curriculum and they will need to learn how to comfortably practice "organized abandonment." They will have to be able to ask the question, "What goes and what stays?" One of the reasons that many of the mandated approaches to school reform have failed is that, in every case, the local school was asked to do more! One of the characteristics of the most effective schools is their willingness to declare that some things are more important than others; they are willing to abandon some less important content so as to be able to have enough time dedicated to those areas that are valued the most.

The only alternative to abandonment would be to adjust the available time that students spend in school, so that those who need more time to reach mastery would be given it. The necessary time must be provided in a quality program that is not perceived as punitive by those in it, or as excessive by those who will have to fund it. These conditions will be a real challenge indeed!

If the American dream and the democratic ideal of educating everyone is going to move forward, we must explore several important policies and practices from the past. Regarding the issue of time to learn, for example, if the children of the disadvantaged present a "larger educational task" to the teachers and if it can be demonstrated that this "larger task" will require more time, then our notions of limited compulsory schooling may need to be changed. The current system of compulsory schooling makes little allowance for the fact that some students need more time to achieve mastery. If we could get the system to be more mastery-based and more humane at the same time, our nation and its students would benefit immensely.

Correlate 6: Frequent Monitoring of Student Progress

The First Generation: In the effective school, student academic progress is measured frequently through a variety of assessment procedures. The results of these assessments are used to improve individual student performance and also to improve the instructional program.

The Second Generation: In the first generation, the correlate was interpreted to mean that the teachers should frequently monitor their students' learning and, where necessary, the teacher should adjust his/her behavior. Several major changes can be anticipated in the second generation. First, the use of technology will permit teachers to do a better job of monitoring their students' progress. Second, this same technology will allow students to monitor their own learning and, where necessary, adjust their own behavior. The use of computerized practice tests, the ability to get immediate results on homework, and the ability to see correct solutions developed on the screen are a few of the available "tools for assuring student learning."

A second major change that will become more apparent in the second generation is already under way. In the area of assessment, the emphasis will continue to shift away from standardized norm-referenced, paper-pencil tests and toward curricular-based, criterion-referenced measures of student mastery. In the second generation, the monitoring of student learning will emphasize "more authentic assessments" of curriculum mastery. This generally means that there will be less emphasis on the paper-pencil, multiple-choice tests, and more emphasis on assessments of products of student work, including performances and portfolios.

Teachers will pay much more attention to the alignment that must exist between the intended, taught, and tested curriculum. Two new questions are being stimulated by the reform movement and will dominate much of the professional educators' discourse in the second generation: "What's worth knowing?" and "How will we know when they know it?" In all likelihood, the answer to the first question will become clear relatively quickly, because we can reach agreement that we want our students to be self-disciplined, socially responsible, and just. The problem comes with the second question, "How will we know when they know it?" Educators and citizens are going to have to come to terms with that question. The bad news is that it demands our best thinking and will require patience if we are going to reach consensus. The good news is that once we begin to reach consensus, the schools will be able to deliver significant progress toward these agreed-upon outcomes.

Correlate 7: Home-School Relations

The First Generation: In the effective school, parents understand and support the school's basic mission and are given the opportunity to play an important role in helping the school to achieve this mission.

The Second Generation: During the first generation, the role of parents in the education of their children was always somewhat unclear. Schools often gave "lip service" to having parents more actively involved in the schooling of their children. Unfortunately, when pressed, many educators were willing to admit that they really did not know how to deal effectively with increased levels of parent involvement in the schools.

In the second generation, the relationship between parents and the school must be an authentic partnership between the school and home. In the past when teachers said they wanted more parent involvement, more often than not they were looking for unqualified support from parents. Many teachers believed that parents, if they truly valued education, knew how to get their children to behave in the ways that the school desired. It is now clear to both teachers and parents that the parent involvement issue is not that simple. Parents are often as perplexed as the teachers about the best way to inspire students to learn what the school teaches. The best hope for effectively confronting the problem--and not each other--is to build enough trust and enough communication to realize that both teachers and parents have the same goal--an effective school and home for all children!

Title I - Targeted Assistance Schools

Goal 1: Use Resources to Help Meet Standards

Use such program's resources under this part to help participating children meet such State's challenging student academic achievement standards expected for all children.

Goal 2: Ensure Planning is Incorporated

Ensure that planning for students served under this part is incorporated into existing school planning.

Goal 3: Use Effective Methods

Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that strengthens the core academic program of the school and that -

- Give primary consideration to providing extended learning time, such as an extended school year, before- and after-school, and summer programs and opportunities;
- Help provide an accelerated, high-quality curriculum, including applied learning; and
- Minimize removing children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction provided under this part.

Goal 4: Support Regular Education Program

Coordinate with and support the regular education program, which may include services to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First or State-run preschool programs to elementary school programs.

Goal 5: Highly Qualified Teachers

Provide instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 6: Opportunities for Professional Development

In accordance with subsection (e)(3) and section 1119, provide opportunities for professional development with resources provided under this part, and, to the extent practicable, from other sources, for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals, including, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff, who work with participating children in programs under this section or in the regular education program.

Goal 7: Strategies for Parental Involvement

Provide strategies to increase parental involvement in accordance with section 1118, such as family literacy services.

Goal 8: Coordinate and Integrate Services and Programs

Coordinate and integrate Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

Title I - Schoolwide Programs

Goal 1: Needs Assessment

A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school (including taking into account the needs of migratory children as defined) that is based on information which includes the achievement of children in relation to the State academic content standards and the State student academic achievement standards as described.

Goal 2: Student Opportunities

- (i) Provide opportunities for all children to meet the State's proficient and advanced levels of student academic achievement;
- (ii) Use effective methods and instructional strategies that are based on scientifically based research that -
 - *strengthen the core academic program in the school;
 - *increase the amount and quality of learning time, such as providing an extended school year and before and after-school and summer programs and opportunities, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum;
 - *include strategies for meeting the educational needs of historically underserved populations;
- (iii)
 - *include strategies to address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of low-achieving children and those at risk of not meeting the State student academic achievement standards who are members of the target population of any program that is included in the schoolwide program, which may include -
 - counseling, pupil services, and mentoring services;
 - college and career awareness and preparation, personal finance education, and innovative teaching
 - the integration of vocational and technical education programs; and
 - *address how the school will determine if such needs have been met;
- (iv) Are consistent with, and are designed to implement, the State and local improvement plans, if any.

Goal 3: Instructional

Instruction by highly qualified teachers.

Goal 4: Professional Development

High-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Goal 5: Professional Staff

Strategies to attract high-quality highly qualified teachers to high-need schools.

Goal 6: Parental Involvement

Strategies to increase parental involvement such as family literary services.

Goal 7: Student Transition to Elementary Programs

Plans for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood programs, such as Head Start, Even Start, Early Reading First, or a State-run preschool program, to local elementary school programs.

Goal 8: Include Teachers in Decisions

Measures to include teachers in the decisions regarding the use of academic assessments in order to provide information on, and to improve, the achievement of individual students and the overall instructional program.

Goal 9: Identify and Assist with Student Difficulties

Activities to ensure that students who experience difficulty mastering the proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards required shall be provided with effective, timely additional assistance which shall include measures to ensure that students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis and to provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance.

Goal 10: Federal, State, and Local Programs

Coordination and integration of Federal, State, and local services and programs, including programs supported under this Act, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start, adult education, vocational and technical education, and job training.

E-Rate Goals

Goal 1: Goals and Strategy for Using Technology

The plan must establish clear goals and a realistic strategy for using telecommunications and information technology to improve education or library services.

Goal 2: Development Strategy for Training

The plan must have a professional development strategy to ensure that staff knows how to use these new technologies to improve education or library services.

Goal 3: Assessment of Services for Improvement

The plan must include an assessment of the telecommunication services, hardware, software, and other services that will be needed to improve education or library services.

Goal 4: Sufficient Budget for Implementation

The plan must provide for a sufficient budget to acquire and support the non-discounted elements of the plan: the hardware, software, professional development, and other services that will be needed to implement the strategy.

Goal 5: Evaluation Process for Monitoring Progress

The plan must include an evaluation process that enables the school or library to monitor progress toward the specified goals and make mid-course corrections in response to new developments and opportunities as they arise.